

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**  
**City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2013-2017**

Program Category:	Project Title:		11 Project #	12 Project #	13 Project #
Wastewater Facilities	Airport Interceptor Phase II and "Wye" Collection System		WW-03	WW-03	WW-02

**Description and justification of project and funding sources:**

This interceptor would allow extended sewer service to the Butler Creek/"Y" area. The interceptor would start at the airport and run west past Butler Creek and on to the "Y" area. The preliminary design was completed in Fiscal Year 2012. Three lift stations serving the airport will be abandoned once the interceptor is complete. The "Wye" collection portion of the project was funded by rural special improvement district (RSID) assessments. This RSID was citizen initiated to allow extended sewer service. A sewer collection system was constructed in the "Wye" area to serve the properties in the RSID. City's upsizing of the main occurred in 2009. City Sewer Development Fees would complete the Interceptor portion near the Airport when capacity would be required. County Tax Increment Funds (TIF) and Montana Treasure State Endowment Funds (TSEP) may also be used.

**Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?**

Yes

No

NA

X

**Are there any site requirements:**

How is this project going to be funded:							Funded in Prior Years
Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	
Sewer Development Fund			200,000	2,050,000	600,000		121,000
County TIF				750,000	400,000		
TSEP							15,000
TSEP Planning		-	200,000	2,800,000	1,000,000	-	136,000

**How is this project going to be spent:**

How is this project going to be spent:							Spent in Prior Years
Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	
A. Land Cost			160,000	2,240,000	800,000		
B. Construction Cost			16,000	224,000	80,000		
C. Contingencies (10% of B)			24,000	336,000	120,000		
D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)		-	200,000	2,800,000	1,000,000	-	136,000
E. Percent for Art (1% of B)							
F. Equipment Costs							
G. Other							

**Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:**

Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:							Spent in Prior Years
Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	
Personnel							
Supplies							
Purchased Services							
Fixed Charges							
Capital Outlay							
Debt Service		-	-	-	-	-	-

Description of additional operating budget impact:

Responsible Person:	Responsible Department:	Date Submitted to Finance	Today's Date and Time	Preparer's Initials	Total Score
Steve King	Public Works	3/2/2012	4/20/2012 14:38	JSM	48

# CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

## Project Rating

(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

Program Category:	Project Title:				13 Project #		
Wastewater Facilities	Airport Interceptor Phase II and "Wye" Collection System						WW-02
Qualitative Analysis		Yes	No	Comments			
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X				
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X				
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X				
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X				
Quantitative Analysis		Raw Score Range	Comments			Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?		(0-3)  <input type="checkbox"/> 3	Use of sewer funds to construct this project will open up new areas of the community to public sewer and accommodate infill. 3:1 leveraging of County Transportation Impact Fees.			5	15
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?		(0-3)  <input type="checkbox"/> 2				4	8
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?		(0-3)  <input type="checkbox"/> 3	Sole source aquifer protection.			3	9
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?		(0-2)  <input type="checkbox"/> 2				4	8
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?		(0-3)  <input type="checkbox"/> 2	Sewer interceptor identified in the updated 2001 Wastewater Facilities Plan.			4	8
Total Score <span style="float: right;">48</span>							