

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2013-2017

| Program Category: | Project Title: | 11 Project # | 12 Project # | 13 Project # |
|-----------------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Wastewater Facilities | Airport Interceptor Phase II and "Wye" Collection System | WW-03 | WW-03 | WW-02 |

Description and justification of project and funding sources:

This interceptor would allow extended sewer service to the Butler Creek/"Y" area. The interceptor would start at the airport and run west past Butler Creek and on to the "Y" area. The preliminary design was completed in Fiscal Year 2012. Three lift stations serving the airport will be abandoned once the interceptor is complete. The "Wye" collection portion of the project was funded by rural special improvement district (RSID) assessments. This RSID was citizen initiated to allow extended sewer service. A sewer collection system was constructed in the "Wye" area to serve the properties in the RSID. City's upsizing of the main occurred in 2009. City Sewer Development Fees would complete the Interceptor portion near the Airport when capacity would be required. County Tax Increment Funds (TIF) and Montana Treasure State Endowment Funds (TSEP) may also be used.

Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?

Yes

No

NA

X

Are there any site requirements:

How is this project going to be funded:

| Funding Source | Accounting Code | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Funded in Prior Years |
|------------------------|-----------------|------|---------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------------|
| Sewer Development Fund | | | 200,000 | 2,050,000 | 600,000 | | 121,000 |
| County TIF | | | | | 400,000 | | |
| TSEP | | | | 750,000 | | | |
| TSEP Planning | | | | | | | 15,000 |
| | | - | 200,000 | 2,800,000 | 1,000,000 | - | 136,000 |

How is this project going to be spent:

| Budgeted Funds | Accounting Code | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Spent in Prior Years |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|------|---------|-----------|-----------|------|----------------------|
| A. Land Cost | | | | | | | |
| B. Construction Cost | | | | | | | |
| C. Contingencies (10% of B) | | | 160,000 | 2,240,000 | 800,000 | | |
| D. Design & Engineering (15% of B) | | | 16,000 | 224,000 | 80,000 | | 136,000 |
| E. Percent for Art (1% of B) | | | 24,000 | 336,000 | 120,000 | | |
| F. Equipment Costs | | | | | | | |
| G. Other | | | | | | | |
| | | - | 200,000 | 2,800,000 | 1,000,000 | - | 136,000 |

Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:

| Expense Object | Accounting Code | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | Spent in Prior Years |
|--------------------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|----------------------|
| Personnel | | | | | | | |
| Supplies | | | | | | | |
| Purchased Services | | | | | | | |
| Fixed Charges | | | | | | | |
| Capital Outlay | | | | | | | |
| Debt Service | | | | | | | |
| | | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Description of additional operating budget impact:

Responsible Person:

Responsible Department:

Date Submitted to Finance

Today's Date and Time

Preparer's Initials

Total Score

Steve King

Public Works

3/2/2012

4/20/2012 14:38

JSM

48

| CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----|--------------|-------------|
| Project Rating | | | | | |
| (See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria) | | | | | |
| Program Category: | Project Title: | | | 13 Project # | |
| Wastewater Facilities | Airport Interceptor Phase II and "Wye" Collection System | | | WW-02 | |
| Qualitative Analysis | | Yes | No | Comments | |
| 1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped. | | | X | | |
| 2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column. | | | X | | |
| 3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification. | | | X | | |
| 4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor. | | | X | | |
| Quantitative Analysis | Raw Score Range | Comments | | Weight | Total Score |
| 5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar? | (0-3) 3 | Use of sewer funds to construct this project will open up new areas of the community to public sewer and accommodate infill. 3:1 leveraging of County Transportation Impact Fees. | | 5 | 15 |
| 6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness? | (0-3) 2 | | | 4 | 8 |
| 7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution? | (0-3) 3 | Sole source aquifer protection. | | 3 | 9 |
| 8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective? | (0-2) 2 | | | 4 | 8 |
| 9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans? | (0-3) 2 | Sewer interceptor identified in the updated 2001 Wastewater Facilities Plan. | | 4 | 8 |
| Total Score | | | | | 48 |