

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2010-2014

Program Category:		Project Title:			08 Project #	09 Project #	10 Project #
Street Improvements		Lower Miller Creek Road Reconstruction Phases I and II			S-04	S-02	S-04
Description and justification of project and funding sources: This project is intended to reconstruct Lower Miller Creek Road in two phases. Phase I is the portion between Linda Vista Boulevard and Big Fork Road to improve safety, capacity and mobility for all modes of transportation. FY2010, Phase I includes rebuilding the asphalt section between Linda Vista Boulevard and the 90° bend to the west with the construction of a sanitary sewer project. Phase II is the portion between Miller Creek Road and Linda Vista Boulevard. Phase II includes widening at selected intersections and for bike lanes along the roadway with sidewalks (boulevard and/or curbside) on both sides of the road.							
Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?					Yes	No	NA
							X
Are there any site requirements:							
REVENUE	How is this project going to be funded:						
	Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14
	Assessments					1,000,000	
	Transportation Impact Fees					150,000	150,000
	Street Division In Kind Labor		100,000				
	To Be Determined					450,000	
		100,000	-	-	1,600,000	150,000	-
EXPENSE	How is this project going to be spent:						
	Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14
	A. Land Cost						
	B. Construction Cost		80,000	-		1,280,000	120,000
	C. Contingencies (10% of B)		8,000	-	-	128,000	12,000
	D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)		12,000	-	-	192,000	18,000
	E. Percent for Art (1% of B)						
	F. Equipment Costs						
	G. Other						
			100,000	-	-	1,600,000	150,000
OPERATING BUDGET COSTS	Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:						
	Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14
	Personnel						
	Supplies						
	Purchased Services						
	Fixed Charges						
	Capital Outlay						
	Debt Service						
			-	-	-	-	-
	Description of additional operating budget impact:						
Responsible Person:		Responsible Department:	Date Submitted to Finance	Today's Date and Time	Preparer's Initials	Total Score	
Kevin Slovarp		Public Works	03/17/2009	06/03/2009 13:40	CJK	38	

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Project Rating

(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

Program Category:	Project Title:					10 Project #
Street Improvements	Lower Miller Creek Road Reconstruction Phases I and II					S-04

Qualitative Analysis	Yes	No	Comments
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		X	
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		X	
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		X	
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		X	

Quantitative Analysis	Raw Score Range	Comments	Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?	(0-3) 3	Street Division project.	5	15
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?	(0-3) 3	Coordinate with the sewer project.	4	12
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?	(0-3) 1		3	3
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?	(0-2) 1		4	4
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?	(0-3) 1	Quality of life.	4	4

Total Score
38