

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2010-2014

Program Category:								Project Title:										07 Project #		08 Project #		09 Project #		10 Project #	
Street Improvements								Rattlesnake Drive Sidewalk (Brookside to Creek Crossing)										S-13		S-14		S-06		S-07	
Description and justification of project and funding sources:																									
<p>Rattlesnake Drive is a neighborhood collector street without continuous pedestrian facilities. Conversion of Rattlesnake School to an elementary school has increased the need for new sidewalks. Sidewalks have been installed with new development at the Applegrove, Brookside and Lily Lane Additions. The next area of focus will be North of Brookside to Creek Crossing.</p> <p>Funding would be through property owner assessments with Street Division in kind assistance.</p> <p>Requested by citizens.</p> <p>The design work and public process is scheduled to begin in Fiscal Year 2009 with construction scheduled for Fiscal Year 2010.</p>																									
Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?																		Yes		No		NA			
																						X			
Are there any site requirements:																									
How is this project going to be funded:																									
REVENUE	Funding Source		Accounting Code		FY10		FY11		FY12		FY13		FY14		Funded in Prior Years										
	Assessments				220,000		220,000																		
	Project Activity Revenue				25,000		25,000																		
	Street Division in Kind				50,000		50,000																		
					295,000		295,000		-		-		-		-										
EXPENSE	How is this project going to be spent:																								
	Budgeted Funds		Accounting Code		FY10		FY11		FY12		FY13		FY14		Spent in Prior Years										
	A. Land Cost																								
	B. Construction Cost				236,000		236,000						-												
	C. Contingencies (10% of B)				23,600		23,600		-		-		-												
	D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)				35,400		35,400		-		-		-												
	E. Percent for Art (1% of B)																								
	F. Equipment Costs																								
G. Other																									
				295,000		295,000		-		-		-		-											
OPERATING BUDGET COSTS	Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:																								
	Expense Object		Accounting Code		FY10		FY11		FY12		FY13		FY14		Spent in Prior Years										
	Personnel																								
	Supplies																								
	Purchased Services																								
	Fixed Charges																								
	Capital Outlay																								
	Debt Service																								
					-		-		-		-		-		-										
Description of additional operating budget impact:																									
Responsible Person:		Responsible Department:		Date Submitted to Finance		Today's Date and Time		Preparer's Initials		Total Score															
Doug Harby		Public Works		03/26/2009		06/03/2009 13:41		CJK		41															

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Project Rating

(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

Program Category:	Project Title:						10 Project #
Street Improvements	Rattlesnake Drive Sidewalk (Brookside to Creek Crossing)						S-07

Qualitative Analysis	Yes	No	Comments
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		X	
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		X	
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		X	
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		X	

Quantitative Analysis	Raw Score Range	Comments	Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?	(0-3) 3	Funding sources other than City's General Fund.	5	15
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?	(0-3) 1	Time os of moderate importance.	4	4
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?	(0-3) 2	Sidewalks provide transportation options.	3	6
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?	(0-2) 2	The project expands upon pedestrian facilities.	4	8
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?	(0-3) 2	Enhanced community livability.	4	8

Total Score
41