

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2010-2014

Program Category:	Project Title:			08 Project #	09 Project #	10 Project #
Street Improvements	Transportation Impact Fee Funded Projects			S-02	S-19	S-08

Description and justification of project and funding sources:

The list of possible projects for the next five years are shown on the attached projects list. In most cases the assessments are for the curb and sidewalk improvements or development access costs. Assessments may also include private developer contributions. Projects may include additional miscellaneous locations such as spot improvements at intersections, and safety or capacity mitigations related to growth, with costs not to exceed \$100,000.

Not all projects will be completed within the 5-year timeline.

Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?

Yes

No

NA

X

Are there any site requirements:

How is this project going to be funded:

Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	Funded in Prior Years
Transportation Impact Fund Balance							
6/30/09 \$700,000 (net of 44 Ranch)		300,000	825,000	800,000	650,000	1,100,000	
Assessments		300,000	2,675,000	1,050,000	1,000,000	650,000	
City in Kind		200,000	100,000	100,000	100,000		
Federal Aid			1,000,000				
To Be Determined					450,000		
		800,000	4,600,000	1,950,000	2,200,000	1,750,000	-

How is this project going to be spent:

Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	Spent in Prior Years
A. Land Cost							
B. Construction Cost		640,000	3,680,000	1,560,000	1,760,000	1,400,000	
C. Contingencies (10% of B)		64,000	368,000	156,000	176,000	140,000	
D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)		96,000	552,000	234,000	264,000	210,000	
E. Percent for Art (1% of B)							
F. Equipment Costs							
G. Other							
		800,000	4,600,000	1,950,000	2,200,000	1,750,000	-

Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:

Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	Spent in Prior Years
Personnel							
Supplies							
Purchased Services							
Fixed Charges							
Capital Outlay							
Debt Service							
		-	-	-	-	-	-

Description of additional operating budget impact:

Responsible Person:

Responsible Department:

Date Submitted to Finance

Today's Date and Time

Preparer's Initials

Total Score

Steve King

Public Works

03/26/2008

04/29/2009 11:58

CJK

46

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Project Rating

(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

Program Category:	Project Title:					10 Project #
Street Improvements	Transportation Impact Fee Funded Projects					S-08

Qualitative Analysis	Yes	No	Comments
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		X	
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		X	
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		X	
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		X	

Quantitative Analysis	Raw Score Range	Comments	Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?	(0-3) 3		5	15
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?	(0-3) 2		4	8
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?	(0-3) 1		3	3
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?	(0-2) 2		4	8
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?	(0-3) 3		4	12

Total Score	46
--------------------	-----------

TRANSPORTATION IMPACT FEE FUNDED PROJECTS

SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Updated: 04/29/2009

EXPENDITURE SIDE (ANNUAL)

PRIORITY	CIP#	PROJECT	FY10 Impact Fees	FY11 Impact Fees	FY12 Impact Fees	FY13 Impact Fees	FY14 Impact Fees
1	S-05	South 3rd West (Russell to Reserve)	\$250,000	\$200,000			
2	S-04	Lower Miller Creek Road Phase I (Linda Vista Boulevard to Big Fork Road)					
3		Craig Lane/Orange Street Traffic Signal & MRL Bridge		\$375,000			
4		Hillview Way		\$200,000			
5		Miller Creek/Old Highway 93			\$750,000		
6		South 3rd West (Reserve to Hiberia)					\$800,000
7		Mullan and George Elmer Drive Intersection Signal				\$450,000	
8	S-04	Lower Miller Creek Road Phase II (Miller Creek Road to Linda Vista Boulevard)				\$150,000	\$150,000
9		Mullan and Mary Jane Intersection					\$100,000
10		Miscellaneous Projects	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000
ANNUAL TOTALS:			\$300,000	\$825,000	\$800,000	\$650,000	\$1,100,000

REVENUE SIDE (ANNUAL)

IMPACT FEE FUNDS	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14
Begin Balance:	\$ 700,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 455,000	\$ 231,000	\$ 272,200
Annual Revenue:	\$ 400,000	\$ 480,000	\$ 576,000	\$ 691,200	\$ 829,440
Potential Fund Balance:	\$1,100,000	\$1,280,000	\$1,031,000	\$ 922,200	\$ 1,101,640
Potential Fund Balance:	\$1,100,000	\$1,280,000	\$1,031,000	\$ 922,200	\$ 1,101,640
Planned Expenditures:	\$ (300,000)	\$ (825,000)	\$ (800,000)	\$ (650,000)	\$ (1,100,000)
Potential Year End Balance:	\$ 800,000	\$ 455,000	\$ 231,000	\$ 272,200	\$ 1,640