

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**  
**City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2010-2014**

<b>Program Category:</b> Street Improvements	<b>Project Title:</b> Slant Street Pedestrian Improvements Program Phases I and II			<b>08 Project #</b> S-09	<b>09 Project #</b> S-16	<b>10 Project #</b> S-16	
<b>Description and justification of project and funding sources:</b>							
<p>Phase I installed curb and sidewalks in the Slant Street Area bounded by Brooks, Higgins and Mount Streets. Hazardous and deteriorated sidewalks were replaced as needed. ADA improvements were made at each corner. The Public Works Master Sidewalk Plan was used to prioritize areas that were and will be upgraded first. Curb ramps were funded by general fund monies if there was no other work adjacent. The property owners paid for ramps if the curb or sidewalk was installed or replaced in the area of the ramp.</p> <p>The cost of installing new sidewalks was paid with a combination of property owner assessments and CTEP funds under the Slant Street Pedestrian Improvement Program CIP. Replacement of curbs and sidewalks were paid with a combination of property owner assessments and Gas Tax funds under the Annual Sidewalk Replacement Program Phase III CIP. CTEP funds were used to supplement the cost of installation of sidewalks on a 50-50 split if the property owner installed the sidewalk at the preferred boulevard location.</p> <p>Phase II is the next portion of the project in the area bounded by Beckwith, Mount and Stephens.</p> <p>Project has been designed, bid awarded and is under contract. Construction will proceed in summer 2009 with a later summer completion deadline.</p>							
<b>Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA							
<b>Are there any site requirements:</b>							
<b>How is this project going to be funded:</b>							
<b>REVENUE</b>	<b>Funding Source</b> Assessments CTEP	<b>Accounting Code</b> 30,000 30,000	<b>FY10</b> 30,000	<b>FY11</b> -	<b>FY12</b> -	<b>FY13</b> -	<b>FY14</b> -
							<b>Funded in Prior Years</b> 60,000 60,000  120,000
<b>EXPENSE</b>	<b>Budgeted Funds</b> A. Land Cost B. Construction Cost C. Contingencies (10% of B) D. Design & Engineering (15% of B) E. Percent for Art (1% of B) F. Equipment Costs G. Other	<b>Accounting Code</b> 48,000 4,800 7,200	<b>FY10</b> 48,000	<b>FY11</b> -	<b>FY12</b> -	<b>FY13</b> -	<b>FY14</b> -
							<b>Spent in Prior Years</b> 96,000 9,600 14,400  120,000
<b>OPERATING BUDGET COSTS</b>	<b>Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:</b>						
	<b>Expense Object</b> Personnel Supplies Purchased Services Fixed Charges Capital Outlay Debt Service	<b>Accounting Code</b> -	<b>FY10</b> -	<b>FY11</b> -	<b>FY12</b> -	<b>FY13</b> -	<b>FY14</b> -
Description of additional operating budget impact:							
<b>Responsible Person:</b> Doug Harby	<b>Responsible Department:</b> Public Works	<b>Date Submitted to Finance</b> 02/17/2009	<b>Today's Date and Time</b> 06/03/2009 14:25	<b>Preparer's Initials</b> CJK	<b>Total Score</b> 49		

# CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

## Project Rating

(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

<b>Program Category:</b>	<b>Project Title:</b>						<b>10 Project #</b>	
Street Improvements	Slant Street Pedestrian Improvements Program Phases I and II						S-16	
<b>Qualitative Analysis</b>		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comments</b>				
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X				
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X				
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X				
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X				
<b>Quantitative Analysis</b>		<b>Raw Score Range</b>	<b>Comments</b>				<b>Weight</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?		(0-3)	100% leveraging.				5	15
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?		(0-3)	Court cases stating City's liability.				4	8
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?		(0-3)	Allows for the mobility impaired to use facilities. A safe and complete system encourages non-motorized transportation.				3	6
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?		(0-2)	ADA is mandated. MMC requires the replacement of hazardous sidewalks.				4	8
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?		(0-3)	Community livability has been an ongoing strategic goal of the City.				4	12
Total Score								49