

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**  
**City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2014-2018**

Program Category:	Project Title:		12 Project #	13 Project #	14 Project #
Community Service	URD III Infrastructure Projects - Wayfinding & Entry Features			CS-12	CS-12

**Description and justification of project and funding sources:**

MRA has completed an URD III Curb & Sidewalk Needs Assessment Study and the Board continues to work through priorities, timing of improvements and funding options. The community has embarked on the development of a comprehensive wayfinding system for the city. Design funds have been raised and a consultant has been engaged. The design should be complete in early FY 14. One of the components of the URD III URD III Assessment was identification of the need for wayfinding and gateways in the District. This project will allow implementation of the wayfinding system to begin immediately in a highly visible area of the city and should provide a catalyst for the fund raising that will be required to implement the system city wide.

**Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?**

Yes

No

NA

x

**Are there any site requirements:**

Undetermined until the wayfinding system is designed.

**How is this project going to be funded:**

Revenue	Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	Funded in Prior Years
			250,000					
			250,000	-	-	-	-	-

**How is this project going to be spent:**

Expense	Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	Spent in Prior Years
			200,000	20,000				
	A. Land Cost B. Construction Cost C. Contingencies (10% of B) D. Design & Engineering (15% of B) E. Percent for Art (1% of B) F. Equipment Costs G. Other		\					
			220,000	-	-	-	-	-

**Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:**

Operating Budget Costs	Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	Spent in Prior Years
			-	-	-	-	-	-
	Personnel Supplies Purchased Services Fixed Charges Capital Outlay Debt Service							
			-	-	-	-	-	-

Description of additional operating budget impact:

Responsible Person:	Responsible Department:	Date Submitted to Finance	Today's Date and Time	Preparer's Initials	Total Score
					20

# CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

## Project Rating

(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

<b>Program Category:</b>	<b>Project Title:</b>				<b>10 Project #</b>	
Community Service	URD III Infrastructure Projects - Wayfinding & Entry Features					
<b>Qualitative Analysis</b>		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comments</b>		
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
<b>Quantitative Analysis</b>		<b>Raw Score Range</b>	<b>Comments</b>		<b>Weight</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?		(0-3)   <b>2</b>	URD III has lacked serious investment for a number of years. It has been demonstrated in other communities that the implementation of a comprehensive wayfinding system is a proven economic development tool.		5	
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?		(0-3)   <b>2</b>	Implementation of the wayfinding recommendations in URD III will demonstrate to potential investors that there is a commitment to the area by the City; thus, encouraging private investment in the area. Additionally, implementation of the wayfinding system city wide will require a significant financial investment of both public and private dollars. The URD III project will serve as a highly visible demonstration of the impact and effectiveness of a system of this nature.		4	
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?		(0-3)   <b>1</b>	Wayfinding provides a guide to both visitors and our citizens as they navigate through Missoula. URD III is particularly difficult because of the geometry of the streets and the neighborhoods. A system that helps mitigate this factor will save on gas, encourage the use of non-motorized transportation and minimize unnecessary use of the streets backtracking and cutting through neighborhoods.		3	
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?		(0-2)   <b>2</b>	Reducing unneeded travel through the use of wayfinding in a geometrically challenged part of the city will alleviate traffic, particularly cut through traffic in neighborhoods. This will relieve wear and tear on streets and neighborhood degradation brought on by increased vehicular traffic.		4	8
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?		(0-3)   <b>3</b>	Implementation of the wayfinding system will entail consolidation and removal of redundant and unnecessary signage. That alone will greatly improve the aesthetic appearance of Missoula and allow us to showcase our natural assets.		4	12
Total Score						20