

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2015-2019

Program Category:	Project Title:		13 Project #	14 Project #	15 Project #
Street Improvements	VanBuren Street Reconstruction		S-14	S-14	

Description and justification of project and funding sources:

Van Buren Street was vetted publicly and ranked as a number one priority as part of the Rattlesnake Valley Transportation Summit Study. Improvements will consist of new curbs, sidewalks, drainage, pavement and utility reconstruction. Neighborhood gateway treatments, lighting and landscaping will be considered. This is a two vehicular lane cost estimate.

Funding: 1) Street Division in kind for asphalt and drainage; 2) Assessments to area property owners (will include sidewalk subsidy program); City Street Division provides in-kind labor and equipment to meet budget (estimate of \$200,000 work).

The Transportation Impact Fee CIP form shows Van Buren Street Reconstruction to be funded at amounts of \$85,000 in FY14 and FY15. Work will be completed in three phases. Phase I has been completed.

Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?

Yes

No

NA

X

Are there any site requirements:

How is this project going to be funded:							
Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	Funded in Prior Years
Assessments		150,000					88,000
Street Division in Kind		111,000					452,810
Transportation Impact Fees		85,000					180,937
Road District 1 sw subsidy							164,000
		346,000	-	-	-	-	885,747

How is this project going to be spent:

Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	Spent in Prior Years
A. Land Cost		20,000					
B. Construction Cost		260,800					
C. Contingencies (10% of B)		26,080					
D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)		39,120					
E. Percent for Art (1% of B)							
F. Equipment Costs							
G. Other							
		346,000	-	-	-	-	110,000

Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:

Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	Spent in Prior Years
Personnel							
Supplies							
Purchased Services							
Fixed Charges							
Capital Outlay							
Debt Service							
		-	-	-	-	-	-

Description of additional operating budget impact:

Responsible Person:	Responsible Department:	Date Submitted to Finance	Today's Date and Time	Preparer's Initials	Total Score
Kevin Slovarp	Development Services		3/5/2015 10:44	JSM	33

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Project Rating

(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

Program Category:	Project Title:				15 Project #	
Street Improvements	VanBuren Street Reconstruction					
Qualitative Analysis		Yes	No	Comments		
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X			
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X			
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X			
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X			
Quantitative Analysis		Raw Score Range	Comments		Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?		(0-3) <input type="checkbox"/> 2	Assessments to property owner provides about 80% of project costs.		5	10
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?		(0-3) <input type="checkbox"/> 1			4	4
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?		(0-3) <input type="checkbox"/> 1			3	3
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?		(0-2) <input type="checkbox"/> 2	Project was one of the highest priorities in the Rattlesnake Valley identified through public input for reconstruction.		4	8
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?		(0-3) <input type="checkbox"/> 2	Livability has been a strategic goal of the City in the past.		4	8
Total Score						33