

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2016-2020

Program Category:	Project Title:		14 Project #	15 Project #	16 Project #
Public Safety	Station 1 Roof Repairs				PS-04

Description and justification of project and funding sources:

The roof membrane at MFD Station 1 is over 20 years old and is deteriorating and leaking. After initially investigating how best to repair the leaks, we found the condition of the existing membrane is such that it cannot feasably or effectively be repaired. Replacement of the entire membrane is recommended.

Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?

Yes

No

NA

x

Are there any site requirements:

N/A

How is this project going to be funded:

Revenue	Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	Funded in Prior Years
			35,000					
			35,000	-	-	-	-	-

How is this project going to be spent:

Expense	Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	Spent in Prior Years
			35,000					
	A. Land Cost							
	B. Construction Cost							
	C. Contingencies (10% of B)							
	D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)							
	E. Percent for Art (1% of B)							
	F. Equipment Costs							
	G. Other							
			35,000	-	-	-	-	-

Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:

Operating Budget Costs	Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	Spent in Prior Years
			35,000					
	Personnel							
	Supplies							
	Purchased Services							
	Fixed Charges							
	Capital Outlay							
	Debt Service							
			-	-	-	-	-	-

Description of additional operating budget impact:

Responsible Person:	Responsible Department:	Date Submitted to Finance	Today's Date and Time	Preparer's Initials	Total Score
Jason Diehl	Fire			cs	56

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Project Rating

(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

Program Category:	Project Title:				10 Project #		
Public Safety	Station 1 Roof Repairs						PS-04
Qualitative Analysis		Yes	No	Comments			
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	NA			
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	NA			
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	Urgently required to prevent further water damage and repair costs.			
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	Yes. Fire stations are necessary for the provision of public safety services.			
Quantitative Analysis		Raw Score Range	Comments			Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?		(0-3) <input type="checkbox"/> 3	Yes. Prevents further water leakage and protects structure from further damage.			5	15
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?		(0-3) <input type="checkbox"/> 3	Yes. Speedy implementation will protect against further damage and expense.			4	12
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?		(0-3) <input type="checkbox"/> 3	Yes. This project conserves fire station.			3	9
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?		(0-2) <input type="checkbox"/> 2	Yes. This project improves currently leaking roof membrane.			4	8
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?		(0-3) <input type="checkbox"/> 3	Yes. This project directly relates to the provision of public safety.			4	12
Total Score							56



NEW CONSTRUCTION RE-ROOF ROOF MAINTENANCE

P.O. Box 17227
MISSOULA, MT 59808
PH: (406) 829-9100
FAX: (406) 829-8450
info@summitroofing.info

RE: Re-Roof budget for 625 East Pine street

Attn: Jeff Brandt

Jeff,

I have reviewed the project and came up with a budget of \$35,000.00 for reroofing the existing ballasted EPDM membrane roof areas. Approximately 4000 SF

Proposed work

Remove gravel and retain for re-use

Remove and dispose of the existing membrane roofing

Inspect the existing insulation for damage

Install a 1/2" cover board over the existing insulation

Install a new 60 mill TPO membrane roofing system and reinstall the ballast.

Install new 24 gage prefinished coping cap

Provide a 20 year manufacturer's warranty.

Budget includes the valley area at the metal roof to the west.

Feel free to call me with any questions.

Bill Johns
President
Summit Roofing Inc
406-829-9100

