

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2016-2020

Program Category:	Project Title:		14 Project #	15 Project #	16 Project #
Street Improvements	Duncan/Greenough Drive Reconstruction (Vine - Mtn. View)		S-08	S-08	S-07

Description and justification of project and funding sources:

Duncan/Greenough Drive was reviewed through public input as part of a list of seven corridors considered for reconstruction. Improvements will consist of new curbs, sidewalks, drainage, pavement and utility reconstruction. Neighborhood gateway treatments, lighting and landscaping will be considered. This is a 2 lane cost estimate. The roadways were overlaid with new asphalt in the 2012 construction season by the Street Division.

Funding: 1) Assessments to area property owners, 2) Road District for sidewalk subsidy, and 3) City Street Division provides in-kind labor and equipment for asphalt and drainage to meet budget (estimate of \$200,000 work). This project is eligible for Transportation Impact Fees as bike lanes and sidewalks enhance capacity.

Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?

Yes

No

NA

X

Are there any site requirements:

How is this project going to be funded:							Funded in Prior Years
Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	
Assessments					200,000		
Road District (subsidy)					400,000		
Street Division in Kind					200,000		
		-	-	-	800,000	-	-
How is this project going to be spent:							Spent in Prior Years
Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	
A. Land Cost					640,000		
B. Construction Cost					64,000		
C. Contingencies (10% of B)					96,000		
D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)							
E. Percent for Art (1% of B)							
F. Equipment Costs							
G. Other							
		-	-	-	800,000	-	-

Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:

Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	Spent in Prior Years
Personnel							
Supplies							
Purchased Services							
Fixed Charges							
Capital Outlay							
Debt Service							
		-	-	-	-	-	-

Description of additional operating budget impact:

Responsible Person:	Responsible Department:	Date Submitted to Finance	Today's Date and Time	Preparer's Initials	Total Score
Kevin Slovarp	Development Services		5/19/2015 12:37	KMG	-

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Project Rating

(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

Program Category:	Project Title:				16 Project #	
Street Improvements	Duncan/Greenough Drive Reconstruction (Vine - Mtn. View)					
Qualitative Analysis		Yes	No	Comments		
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FY16		
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FY16		
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	640000		
Quantitative Analysis		Raw Score Range	Comments		Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?		(0-3)	The project constructs a complete street.		-	-
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?		(0-3)	The project has been included in the Rattlesnake Neighborhood Transportation Plan.		-	-
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?		(0-3)	The project once completed will provide for pedestrian use and will likely lead to reductions in vehicle miles traveled thereby reducing gas consumption and air pollution.		-	-
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?		(0-2)	The project completes the roadway including the drainage system reducing runoff and erosion of adjacent lands.		-	-
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?		(0-3)	The project promotes complete streets, active transportation alternatives and furthers the completion of the master sidewalk plan.		-	-
Total Score						