

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2012-2016

Program Category:	Project Title:	10 Project #	11 Project #	12 Project #
Community Service	Two-way Front and Main Street Traffic Flow Project	CS-18	CS-18	CS-18

Description and justification of project and funding sources:

This project is the 2nd of 10 recommendations from the Missoula Downtown Streets Project Plan for improving and revitalizing streets in the downtown area. This project consists of converting Front and Main Streets to two-way streets. This change would require modifications at the Orange/Front/Main, Higgins/Main, Higgins/Front and Madison/Front intersections to accommodate two-way traffic flow. The change could allow potential diagonal parking on Front Street to enhance adjacent retail and commercial uses on Front. The Downtown Streets Project is intended to: provide a high quality pedestrian environment; improve traffic flow, access and circulation to downtown; provide additional on-street parking; create an inviting streetscape and festival atmosphere; and establish Downtown as a high quality place inviting additional investment in redevelopment. This project would help to "brand" the area by offering a unique look exclusive to the Downtown. The 10 recommendations consist of: 1) North Higgins Streetscape; 2) Two-way Front & Main Street Traffic Flow; 3) New Street & Pedestrian Lights; 4) Diagonal Parking on Front Street; 5) Streetscape Hip Strip; 6) "Blues Alley" Entertainment District; 7) Streetscape Pine Street; 8) Upgrade Traffic Signals; 9) Create Carousel "Gateway" on Front Street; and 10) Additional Streetscaping & Bulb-outs through redevelopment and individual projects.

Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?

Yes

No

NA

X

Are there any site requirements:

How is this project going to be funded:

Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	Funded in Prior Years
Transportation Impact fees				50,000			
Grant				450,000			
Parking Commission				300,000			
		-	-	800,000	-	-	-

How is this project going to be spent:

Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	Spent in Prior Years
A. Land Cost							
B. Construction Cost				720,000			
C. Contingencies (10% of B)				80,000			
D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)							
E. Percent for Art (1% of B)							
F. Equipment Costs							
G. Other							
		-	-	800,000	-	-	-

Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:

Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	Spent in Prior Years
Personnel							
Supplies							
Purchased Services							
Fixed Charges							
Capital Outlay							
Debt Service							
		-	-	-	-	-	-

Description of additional operating budget impact:

Responsible Person:

Responsible Department:

Date Submitted to Finance

Today's Date and Time

Preparer's Initials

Total Score

Kevin Slovarp

Public Works

3/18/2011

4/12/2011 12:08

JSM

-

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM					
Project Rating					
(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)					
Program Category:	Project Title:			11 Project #	
Community Service	Two-way Front and Main Street Traffic Flow Project			CS-18	
Qualitative Analysis		Yes	No	Comments	
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.			X		
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.			X		
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.			X		
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.			X		
Quantitative Analysis	Raw Score Range	Comments		Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?	(0-3)	Yes, because the three funding sources are other than the General Fund.		5	-
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?	(0-3)			4	-
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?	(0-3)	It is anticipated that the proposed improvements will improve traffic circulation and encourage more pedestrian activity.		3	-
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?	(0-2)	This project would be a substantial improvement to the transportation system in the Downtown.		4	-
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?	(0-3)	This project enhances community livability, which has been a goal in past stretegic plans of the City.		4	-
Total Score					-