

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2012-2016

Program Category:	Project Title:	10 Project #	11 Project #	
Parks, Recreation and Open Space	River Bank Restoration and Flood Control	PR-22	PR-11	PR-11

Description and justification of project and funding sources:

This project includes planning, design, and implementation of several restoration and recreation project sites along two miles of the Clark Fork River in downtown Missoula generally between Orange and Russell. Innovative redevelopment projects on both the south and north side of the river are revitalizing the core of Missoula by creating mixed-use development that include affordable housing, trails, and parks on previously industrial sites. Federal dollars will allow the City of Missoula and Clark Fork Coalition to remove hazardous concrete and asphalt from the riverbanks, stabilize deteriorating banks, address deficiencies in existing levees, restore native riparian vegetation to improve water quality, create river access for citizens, and extend and improve existing pedestrian trails and riverfront parks along the Clark Fork River. This riverbank revitalization will be a model for urban river stewardship, featuring unique private-public partnerships that will augment redevelopment of an industrial brownfield, generate restored riverbanks and re-planted riparian vegetation, protect and revive a low-income neighborhood, and shield existing public infrastructure from flooding.

Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?

Yes

No

NA

x

Are there any site requirements:

How is this project going to be funded:

Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	Funded in Prior Years
Tax Increment		200,000					
Army Corp of engineers		1,197,000	3,803,000				
Other		360,000					
MRA and others TBD			2,132,000				
		1,757,000	5,935,000	-	-	-	-

How is this project going to be spent:

Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	Spent in Prior Years
A. Land Cost							
B. Construction Cost							
C. Contingencies (10% of B)							
D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)							
E. Percent for Art (1% of B)							
F. Equipment Costs							
G. Other							
		-	-	-	-	-	-

Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:

Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	Spent in Prior Years
Personnel							
Supplies							
Purchased Services							
Fixed Charges							
Capital Outlay							
Debt Service							
		-	-	-	-	-	-

Description of additional operating budget impact:

Responsible Person:

Responsible Department:

Date Submitted to Finance

Today's Date and Time

Preparer's Initials

Total Score

Ellen Buchanan

MRA

4/6/2011 13:07

kln

48

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM					
Project Rating					
(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)					
Program Category:	Project Title:			10 Project #	
Parks, Recreation and Open Space	River Bank Restoration and Flood Control			PR-11	
Qualitative Analysis		Yes	No	Comments	
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.			x		
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.			x		
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.			x		
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.			x		
Quantitative Analysis	Raw Score Range	Comments		Weight	Total Score
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?	(0-3) 3	The project leverages congressional appropriations through the Army Corp of Engineers through tax increment expenditures on Silver Park and other projects.		5	15
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?	(0-3) 2	Once the appropriation is made, time will be of the essence.		4	8
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?	(0-3) 3	The project restores and preserves a portion of a major waterway in Montana		3	9
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?	(0-2) 2	Components of this project address a variety of issues including restoration of degraded riverbanks, deficiencies in levees, restoration of native vegetation for improved water quality and river access and recreational amenities.		4	8
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?	(0-3) 2	Portions of this project are components of a variety of community vision and plans and priorities		4	8
Total Score					48