

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**  
**City of Missoula CIP Project Request Form FY 2012-2016**

Program Category:	Project Title:		10 Project #	11 Project #	12 Project #
Street Improvements	Rattlesnake Drive Sidewalk (Brookside to Creek Crossing)		S-07	S-07	

**Description and justification of project and funding sources:**

Rattlesnake Drive is a neighborhood collector street without continuous pedestrian facilities. Conversion of Rattlesnake School to an elementary school has increased the need for new sidewalks. Sidewalks have been installed with new development at the Applegrove, Brookside and Lily Lane Additions. The next area of focus will be North of Brookside to Creek Crossing.

Funding would be through property owner assessments with Street Division in kind assistance.

Requested by citizens.

The design work and public process is scheduled for FY2012.

**Is this equipment prioritized on an equipment replacement schedule?**

Yes

No

NA

X

**Are there any site requirements:**

<b>How is this project going to be funded:</b>							Funded in Prior Years
Funding Source	Accounting Code	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	
Assessments		245,000					220,000
Street Division in Kind		50,000					25,000
		295,000	-	-	-	-	50,000
							295,000

**How is this project going to be spent:**

How is this project going to be spent:							Spent in Prior Years
Budgeted Funds	Accounting Code	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	
A. Land Cost							236,000
B. Construction Cost							23,600
C. Contingencies (10% of B)							35,400
D. Design & Engineering (15% of B)							
E. Percent for Art (1% of B)							
F. Equipment Costs							
G. Other							
		295,000	-	-	-	-	295,000

**Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:**

Does this project have any additional impact on the operating budget:							Spent in Prior Years
Expense Object	Accounting Code	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	
Personnel							
Supplies							
Purchased Services							
Fixed Charges							
Capital Outlay							
Debt Service							
		-	-	-	-	-	-

Description of additional operating budget impact:

Responsible Person:	Responsible Department:	Date Submitted to Finance	Today's Date and Time	Preparer's Initials	Total Score
Doug Harby	Public Works	3/18/2011	4/6/2011 14:24	JSM	41

# CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

## Project Rating

(See C.I.P. Instructions For Explanation of Criteria)

<b>Program Category:</b>	<b>Project Title:</b>				<b>12 Project #</b>		
Street Improvements	Rattlesnake Drive Sidewalk (Brookside to Creek Crossing)						
<b>Qualitative Analysis</b>		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comments</b>			
1. Is the project necessary to meet federal, state, or local legal requirements? This criterion includes projects mandated by Court Order to meet requirements of law or other requirements. Of special concern is that the project be accessible to the handicapped.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2. Is the project necessary to fulfill a contractual requirement? This criterion includes Federal or State grants which require local participation. Indicate the Grant name and number in the comment column.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3. Is this project urgently required? Will delay result in curtailment of an essential service? This statement should be checked "Yes" only if an emergency is clearly indicated; otherwise, answer "No". If "Yes", be sure to give full justification.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4. Does the project provide for and/or improve public health and/or public safety? This criterion should be answered "No" unless public health and/or safety can be shown to be an urgent or critical factor.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>Quantitative Analysis</b>		<b>Raw Score Range</b>	<b>Comments</b>			<b>Weight</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
5. Does the project result in maximum benefit to the community from the investment dollar?		(0-3)  <input style="width: 10px; height: 10px; border: 1px solid black; vertical-align: middle;" type="checkbox"/> 3	Funding sources other than City's General Fund.			5	15
6. Does the project require speedy implementation in order to assure its maximum effectiveness?		(0-3)  <input style="width: 10px; height: 10px; border: 1px solid black; vertical-align: middle;" type="checkbox"/> 1	Time os of moderate importance.			4	4
7. Does the project conserve energy, cultural or natural resources, or reduce pollution?		(0-3)  <input style="width: 10px; height: 10px; border: 1px solid black; vertical-align: middle;" type="checkbox"/> 2	Sidewalks provide transportation options.			3	6
8. Does the project improve or expand upon essential City services where such services are recognized and accepted as being necessary and effective?		(0-2)  <input style="width: 10px; height: 10px; border: 1px solid black; vertical-align: middle;" type="checkbox"/> 2	The project expands upon pedestrian facilities.			4	8
9. Does the project specifically relate to the City's strategic planning priorities or other plans?		(0-3)  <input style="width: 10px; height: 10px; border: 1px solid black; vertical-align: middle;" type="checkbox"/> 2	Enhanced community livability.			4	8
Total Score <b>41</b>							